

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,654	01/10/2002	Nelson Waldo Bunker V.	CRIT-27,301	7438
25883 7	7590 01/03/2007		EXAMINER	
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P P.O. BOX 741715				
DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		10/043,654	BUNKER V. ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		TRAN, TONGOC	2134		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
The Ap 41.37.	opeal Brief filed on <u>20 November 2006</u> is defectiv	ve for failure to comply with one o	or more provisions of 37 CFR		
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an	he mailing date of this Notification			
1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.				
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).				
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).				
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).				
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of rejection pres	sented for review (37 CFR		
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each grour	nd of rejection on appeal (37 CFR		
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR		
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evident other evidence entered by the examiner and restatement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	lied upon by appellant in the a	appeal, along with a		
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).				
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):			
	Item 1. The following headings should read as follow Rejection to be reviewed on Appeal and VII. Argume brief. Item 4. The claimed invention is not mapped to the in and line number and to the drawings, if any.	ent. The "Grouping of Claims" headi	ng should be omitted from the		

LORENDA HOOD
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST